Log-Concavity of High Convolutions: The Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem

Shengtong Zhang

 $\mathsf{MIT} \to \mathsf{Stanford}$

September 16, 2022

1/34

Introduction and Methodology

2 The Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem

3 Proof of Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem

4 Recent Generalization

Definition

A sequence a_1, \dots, a_n is unimodal if there exists a K such that $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_K$ and $a_K \geq a_{K+1} \geq \dots$. A sequence a_1, \dots, a_n is log-concave if every term is non-negative and $a_k^2 \geq a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$ for all k.

Definition

A polynomial is unimodal / log-concave iff its coefficients are unimodal / log-concave.

Definition

A sequence a_1, \dots, a_n is unimodal if there exists a K such that $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_K$ and $a_K \geq a_{K+1} \geq \dots$. A sequence a_1, \dots, a_n is log-concave if every term is non-negative and $a_k^2 \geq a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$ for all k.

Definition

A polynomial is unimodal / log-concave iff its coefficients are unimodal / log-concave.

Example:

- $x^2 + 2$ is neither unimodal nor log-concave.
- $x^2 + x + 2$ is unimodal but not log-concave.
- $x^2 + 3x + 2$ is log-concave.

Unimodality and Log-concavity happen for reasons!

э

Unimodality and Log-concavity happen for reasons!

Stanley, R.P., Log-Concave and Unimodal Sequences in Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry.

Pak, I., What is a combinatorial interpretation?

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

Theorem

The product of two log-concave polynomials is a log-concave polynomial.

Corollary

Hyperbolic polynomials(polynomials with negative real roots) are log-concave.

Example: $(x + 2)(x + 3) = x^2 + 5x + 6$. **Example**[Heilman-Lieb]: In graph *G*, let t_j be the number of matchings of size *j*. Then $\sum_j t_j x^j$ is hyperbolic, so $\{t_j\}$ is log-concave.

3

く 伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Let K, L be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Let f(t) be the volumn of K + tL.

Let K, L be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Let f(t) be the volumn of K + tL.

Theorem (Aleksandrov-Fenchel Inequality)

f(t) is a log-concave polynomial.

A number of interesting corollaries in combinatorics.

Theorem (Horrocks 2002)

Let $P_k(G)$ be the k-element **dependent** sets in a graph G, and let $p_k(G) = \#P_k(G)$. Then $\{p_k(G)\}$ is log-concave.

Proof by cleverly constructing injections.

7/34

Theorem (Horrocks 2002)

Let $P_k(G)$ be the k-element **dependent** sets in a graph G, and let $p_k(G) = \#P_k(G)$. Then $\{p_k(G)\}$ is log-concave.

Proof by cleverly constructing injections.

Conjecture

Let $D_k(G)$ be the k-element **dominating** sets in a graph G, and let $d_k(G) = \#D_k(G)$. Then $\{d_k(G)\}$ is unimodal.

Theorem (Mason's conjecture)

The characteristic polynomial of a matroid is log-concave.

Key tools: Hodge theory, Lorentzian polynomials(June Huh et. al.), Completely Log-concave polynomials(Nima Anari et. al.)

Theorem (Mason's conjecture)

The characteristic polynomial of a matroid is log-concave.

Key tools: Hodge theory, Lorentzian polynomials(June Huh et. al.), Completely Log-concave polynomials(Nima Anari et. al.)

See Nima Anari, Kuikui Liu, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Cynthia Vinzant

Log-Concave Polynomials III: Mason's Ultra-Log-Concavity Conjecture for Independent Sets of Matroids.

Analytic Reasons(This talk)

Idea: Obtain a sufficiently accurate analytic estimate of a_k , for which $a_k^2 \ge a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$ follows.

Example(DeSalvo-Pak 2015)

Let p(n) be the number of partitions of n.

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, \cdots$$

Then $\{p(n)\}$ is log-concave for $n \ge 26$.

Analytic Reasons(This talk)

Idea: Obtain a sufficiently accurate analytic estimate of a_k , for which $a_k^2 \ge a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$ follows.

Example(DeSalvo-Pak 2015)

Let p(n) be the number of partitions of n.

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, \cdots$$

Then $\{p(n)\}$ is log-concave for $n \ge 26$.

Theorem (Rademacher's Exact Formula)

$$p(n) = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{24n - 1}/6} \right) e^{\pi\sqrt{24n - 1}/6} + R_n$$

where R_n is super-polynomially smaller than the first term.

- Implications in theoretical computer science: unimodality can be exploited to design fast algorithms.
 Example: Proof of Mason's Conjecture gives FPRAS for counting the base of matroids.
- Connections with probability theory and statistics: log-concave distributions.
- Connections with Riemann Hypothesis: Pólya–Jensen criterion.

Hardy-Littlewood Circle Method!

æ

Introduction and Methodology

2 The Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem

3 Proof of Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem

4 A Recent Generalization

The product of two log-concave polynomials is log-concave. Thus, if f(z) is log-concave polynomial, then $f(z)^N$ is log-concave for all $N \ge 1$. The product of two log-concave polynomials is log-concave. Thus, if f(z) is log-concave polynomial, then $f(z)^N$ is log-concave for all $N \ge 1$.

Can log-concavity arise simply by taking the power of polynomials?

The product of two log-concave polynomials is log-concave. Thus, if f(z) is log-concave polynomial, then $f(z)^N$ is log-concave for all $N \ge 1$.

Can log-concavity **arise** simply by taking the power of polynomials?

Trivial counterexample: $p(z) = z^2 + 1$. No power of p is log-concave.

If p(z) is a polynomial with all positive coefficients, then $p^{k}(z)$ is log-concave for all sufficiently large k.

In fact, we only need all coefficients to be non-negative, and $p(z) \neq q(z^m)$ for any polynomial q and m > 1.

If p(z) is a polynomial with all positive coefficients, then $p^{k}(z)$ is log-concave for all sufficiently large k.

In fact, we only need all coefficients to be non-negative, and $p(z) \neq q(z^m)$ for any polynomial q and m > 1.

Original title

On the Unimodality of High Convolutions of Discrete Distributions

If p(z) is a polynomial with all positive coefficients, then $p^{k}(z)$ is log-concave for all sufficiently large k.

In fact, we only need all coefficients to be non-negative, and $p(z) \neq q(z^m)$ for any polynomial q and m > 1.

Original title

On the Unimodality of High Convolutions of Discrete Distributions

Example

 $p(z) = z^2 + 0.1z + 1$. Far from Log-concave.



Shengtong Zhang (MIT \rightarrow Stanford)

Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem

September 16, 2022

15/34

Coefficients of $p(z)^4$



Shengtong Zhang (MIT \rightarrow Stanford)

September 16<u>, 2022</u>

э

Coefficients of $p(z)^{32}$



Shengtong Zhang (MIT \rightarrow Stanford)

September 16, 2022

Introduction and Methodology

2 The Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem

3 Proof of Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem

4 A Recent Generalization

If p(z) is a polynomial with all positive coefficients, then $p^{k}(z)$ is log-concave for all sufficiently large k.

Notation

Let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

Assume: $n \le dk/2$, and $n \ge k^{1/4}$. d = degree of p(z).

Let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

Lemma

For any r > 0, we have

$$a_{k,n} = rac{1}{2\pi r^n}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}p^k(re^{i heta})e^{-in heta}d heta.$$

Let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

Lemma

For any r > 0, we have

$$a_{k,n} = rac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p^k (r e^{i heta}) e^{-i n heta} d heta.$$

More generally, let $I_r:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$I_r(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p^k(re^{i\theta}) e^{-i\alpha\theta} d\theta$$

Shengtong Zhang (MIT \rightarrow Stanford)

Let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

Lemma

For any r > 0, we have

$$a_{k,n}=rac{1}{2\pi r^n}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}p^k(re^{i heta})e^{-in heta}d heta.$$

More generally, let $I_r:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$I_r(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p^k(re^{i\theta}) e^{-i\alpha\theta} d\theta$$

Then

$$a_{k,n}=\frac{1}{2\pi r^n}I_r(n).$$

To prove $a_{k,n}^2 \ge a_{k,n-1}a_{k,n+1}$, it suffices to find **one** r > 0 such that

$$2r^{n}a_{k,n} \geq r^{n-1}a_{k,n-1} + r^{n+1}a_{k,n+1}$$

To prove $a_{k,n}^2 \ge a_{k,n-1}a_{k,n+1}$, it suffices to find **one** r > 0 such that $2r^n a_{k,n} \ge r^{n-1}a_{k,n-1} + r^{n+1}a_{k,n+1}$ or $2L(n) \ge L(n-1) + L(n+1)$

$$2I_r(n) \ge I_r(n-1) + I_r(n+1).$$

To prove $a_{k,n}^2 \ge a_{k,n-1}a_{k,n+1}$, it suffices to find **one** r > 0 such that

$$2r^n a_{k,n} \ge r^{n-1}a_{k,n-1} + r^{n+1}a_{k,n+1}$$

or

$$2I_r(n) \ge I_r(n-1) + I_r(n+1).$$

Strengthening: Find an r > 0 such that for any $\alpha \in [n - 1, n + 1]$,

 $I_r''(\alpha) \leq 0.$

We can compute I_r'' explicitly.

To prove $a_{k,n}^2 \ge a_{k,n-1}a_{k,n+1}$, it suffices to find **one** r > 0 such that

$$2r^n a_{k,n} \ge r^{n-1}a_{k,n-1} + r^{n+1}a_{k,n+1}$$

or

$$2I_r(n) \ge I_r(n-1) + I_r(n+1).$$

Strengthening: Find an r > 0 such that for any $\alpha \in [n - 1, n + 1]$,

 $I_r''(\alpha) \leq 0.$

We can compute I_r'' explicitly.

Goal

Find an r > 0 such that for any $\alpha \in [n - 1, n + 1]$,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} heta^2 p^k(re^{i heta})e^{-ilpha heta}d heta\geq 0.$$

Technical Assumption: $r \sim n/k$, where the implied constant does not depend on *n* or *k*.

Step 2: Splitting into Major and Minor Arc

Goal

Find an r > 0 such that for any $\alpha \in [n - 1, n + 1]$,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta^2 p^k (r e^{i\theta}) e^{-i\alpha\theta} d\theta \ge 0.$$

Step 2: Splitting into Major and Minor Arc

Goal

Find an r > 0 such that for any $\alpha \in [n - 1, n + 1]$,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta^2 p^k (r e^{i\theta}) e^{-i\alpha\theta} d\theta \ge 0.$$

Crucial Observation: As the coefficients of p(z) are positive, $|p(re^{i\theta})|$ has a unique maximum at $\theta = 0$.

Goal

Find an r > 0 such that for any $\alpha \in [n - 1, n + 1]$,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta^2 p^k (r e^{i\theta}) e^{-i\alpha\theta} d\theta \ge 0.$$

Crucial Observation: As the coefficients of p(z) are positive, $|p(re^{i\theta})|$ has a unique maximum at $\theta = 0$.

Lemma

There exists a c > 0 independent of n, k such that

$$\left|p(re^{i\theta})\right| \leq p(r)e^{-c\theta^2 r}.$$

Step 2: Splitting into Major and Minor Arc

Lemma

There exists a c > 0 independent of n, k such that for any $|\theta| \le \pi$,

$$p(re^{i\theta}) \leq p(r)e^{-c\theta^2 r}$$

Let $\theta_0 = k^{1/30} n^{-1/2}$. We call the region $|\theta| \le \theta_0$ the **major arc**, and the region $|\theta| \in [\theta_0, \pi]$ the **minor arc**.

Lemma

There exists a c > 0 independent of n, k such that for any $|\theta| \le \pi$,

$$p(re^{i\theta})\Big|\leq p(r)e^{-c\theta^2 r}.$$

Let $\theta_0 = k^{1/30} n^{-1/2}$. We call the region $|\theta| \le \theta_0$ the **major arc**, and the region $|\theta| \in [\theta_0, \pi]$ the **minor arc**.

Corollary (Estimate on Minor Arc)

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a c > 0 such that for $r > \epsilon n/k$, we have

$$\left|\int_{|\theta|\in[\theta_0,\pi]}\theta^2p^k(re^{i\theta})e^{-i\alpha\theta}d\theta\right|\leq p^k(r)e^{-ck^{1/15}}.$$

Let $\theta_0 = k^{1/30} n^{-1/2}$. The integral over Major Arc is

$$\int_{|\theta|<\theta_0}\theta^2p^k(re^{i\theta})e^{-i\alpha\theta}d\theta.$$

3

Let $\theta_0 = k^{1/30} n^{-1/2}$. The integral over Major Arc is

$$\int_{|\theta|<\theta_0}\theta^2p^k(re^{i\theta})e^{-i\alpha\theta}d\theta.$$

Lemma

There exists constant c > 0 such that

$$\int_{| heta|< heta_0} \left| heta^2 p^k(re^{i heta})
ight| d heta\geq cp^k(r)\cdot k^{-4}.$$

Goal: For $|\theta| < \theta_0$, ensure that

$$\left|\arg p^k(re^{i\theta})e^{-i\alpha\theta}\right| < \frac{\pi}{4}$$

- 20

25 / 34

Goal

For $|\theta| < \theta_0$, ensure that

$$\left| \arg p^k(re^{i heta})e^{-ilpha heta}
ight| < rac{\pi}{4}.$$

- (日)

æ

Goal

For $|\theta| < \theta_0$, ensure that

$$\left| \arg p^k(re^{i heta})e^{-ilpha heta}
ight| < rac{\pi}{4}.$$

$$rg p^k(re^{i heta})e^{-ilpha heta}=krg p(re^{i heta})-lpha heta.$$

- (日)

æ

Goal

For $|\theta| < heta_0$, ensure that

$$\left| \arg p^k(re^{i heta})e^{-ilpha heta}
ight| < rac{\pi}{4}.$$

$$rg p^k(re^{i heta})e^{-ilpha heta}=k\, {
m arg}\, p(re^{i heta})-lpha heta.$$

Since arg $p(re^{i\theta})$ is an **odd function** in θ , its Taylor expansion is

$$\arg p(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{rp'(r)}{p(r)} \cdot \theta + O(r\theta^3)$$

Recall that $|\theta| < k^{1/30} n^{-1/2}$, so take r such that rp'(r)/p(r) = n/k. We can verify that such an r exists and $r \sim n/k$.

- Introduction and Methodology
- 2 The Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem
- 3 Proof of Odlyzko-Richmond Theorem
- 4 Recent Generalization

If p(z) is a polynomial with all positive coefficients, then $p^k(z)$ is log-concave for all sufficiently large k.

Does this hold for power series?

If p(z) is a polynomial with all positive coefficients, then $p^k(z)$ is log-concave for all sufficiently large k.

Does this hold for power series? No. Example: $p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2^n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n z^n$, for fast decaying $\epsilon_n > 0$.

If p(z) is a polynomial with all positive coefficients, then $p^{k}(z)$ is log-concave for all sufficiently large k.

Does this hold for power series? No. Example: $p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2^n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n z^n$, for fast decaying $\epsilon_n > 0$.

Question

Can we prove sufficient condition on power series p(z) for analogs of Odlyzko-Richmond to hold?

Definition (Nekrasov-Okounkov Polynomials)

Let $Q_n(z)$ be the polynomials satisfying

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(z) q^n = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{-z-1}$$

An important family of polynomials in algebraic combinatorics, with connections to Young Tableaux.

Definition (Nekrasov-Okounkov Polynomials)

Let $Q_n(z)$ be the polynomials satisfying

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(z)q^n = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{-z-1}.$$

An important family of polynomials in algebraic combinatorics, with connections to Young Tableaux.

$$1, 1 + z, 2 + \frac{5}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}z^{2}, 3 + \frac{29}{6}z + 2z^{2} + \frac{1}{6}z^{3}, \cdots$$

29/34

Conjecture(Heim-Neuhauser 2018)

 $Q_n(z)$ is unimodal for all n.

Conjecture(Heim-Neuhauser 2018)

 $Q_n(z)$ is unimodal for all n.

A lot of inequalities later...

Lemma (Hong-Z. 2020)

Let $p(z) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_{-1}(n) z^n$, let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

If there exists C > 1 such that $\{a_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is log-concave for $n \leq C^k$, then $Q_n(z)$ is unimodal for all sufficiently large n.

Conjecture(Hong-Z. 2020)

Let $p(z) = \sum_{n>1} \sigma_{-1}(n) z^n$, let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

There exists C > 1 such that $\{a_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is log-concave for $n \leq C^{k}$.

Conjecture(Hong-Z. 2020)

Let $p(z) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_{-1}(n) z^n$, let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

There exists C > 1 such that $\{a_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is log-concave for $n \leq C^{k}$.

Numerical evidence: first *n* such that $a_{k,n}^2 < a_{k,n-1}a_{k,n+1}$.

k	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$n_0(k)$	6	21	39	73	135	251	475	917	1801	3595	7259	14787

Theorem (Z. 2022)

Let $p(z) = \sum_{n} a_{n} z^{k}$ be a power series with 1) $a_{n} \ge 1$ for every n. 2) there exists $A > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$0 \leq A(n+1) - (a_0 + \cdots + a_n) \leq O((n+1)^{\alpha}).$$

Let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

There exists C > 1 such that $\{a_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is log-concave for $n \leq C^k$.

Theorem (Z. 2022)

Let $p(z) = \sum_{n} a_n z^k$ be a power series with 1) $a_n \ge 1$ for every n. 2) there exists $A > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$0 \leq A(n+1) - (a_0 + \cdots + a_n) \leq O((n+1)^{\alpha}).$$

Let $a_{k,n}$ be the coefficient of z^n in $p^k(z)$.

There exists C > 1 such that $\{a_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is log-concave for $n \leq C^{k}$.

Richmond-Odlyzko method works for such p(z). Gives $n \leq C^{k^{1/3}}$ Additional considerations give $n \leq C^k$.

Does there exist a combinatorial proof for Odlyzko-Richmond?

э

References

- B. Heim, M. Neuhauser, *On conjectures regarding the Nekrasov-Okounkov hook length formula.* (2019)
- L. Hong, S. Zhang, *Towards Heim and Neuhauser's unimodality conjecture on the Nekrasov-Okounkov polynomials.* (2021)
- N. A. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, *Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions.* (2006)
- A. M. Odlyzko, L. B. Richmond, On the unimodality of high convolutions of discrete distributions. (1985)
- S. Zhang, Log-concavity in powers of infinite series close to $(1 z)^{-1}$. (2022)
- R. P. Stanley, Log-Concave and Unimodal Sequences in Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry. (1989)