

Some Problems in Extremal Set Theory

Chong Shangguan



山东大学
SHANDONG UNIVERSITY

数学与交叉科学研究中心

Research Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences

31 March 2023

- Cover-free hypergraphs
- Union-free hypergraphs
- Cancellative hypergraphs

Notations

- $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $\binom{[n]}{r} = \{A \subseteq [n] : |A| = r\}$
- r -uniform hypergraph (or r -graph) $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$

Definitions

$\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ is called

- (Kautz-Singleton'64; Erdős-Frankl-Füredi'85) **t -cover-free** if for any $t + 1$ distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, B \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$B \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^t A_i;$$

- (Kautz-Singleton'64; Erdős-Moser'70) **t -union-free** if for any two distinct subfamilies $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, with $1 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq t$,

$$\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A \neq \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B;$$

- (Erdős-Katona'74; Füredi'12) **t -cancellative** if for any $t + 2$ distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, B, C \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(\bigcup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup B \neq (\bigcup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup C.$$


Applications beyond combinatorics

- testing blood samples, chemical leak, electric shorting, Denial-of-Service attack, etc
- multi-access channel communication
- DNA screening
- pattern finding
- digital and multimedia fingerprinting
- ...
- In information/coding theory, cover-free hypergraph is equivalent to
 - non-adaptive group testing
 - disjunct matrix
 - superimposed code

Goal of this talk

- Let $F_t(n, r)$, $U_t(n, r)$, and $C_t(n, r)$ denote the maximum size of t -cover-free, t -union-free, and t -cancellative r -graphs on n vertices, respectively.
- Study the asymptotic behaviour of these functions for fixed r, t , and $n \rightarrow \infty$.¹
- Focus on the **Turán exponents**, is there² $\alpha \in [0, r]$ s.t. $g_t(n, r) = \Theta(n^\alpha)$, where $g \in \{F, U, C\}$?

¹One can also study the problem for fixed t and $r, n \rightarrow \infty$, very different

²Usually α doesn't exist or $\alpha < r$, the problem is degenerate. 

Cover-free r -graphs

- $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ is **t -cover-free** if for any $t + 1$ distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, B \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$B \not\subseteq \cup_{i=1}^t A_i.$$

- “Trivial” example: $r = 1$, singletons
- “Non-trivial” example: (n, r, k) -packings, an n -vertex r -graph whose every two edges have $< k$ intersections
- $(n, r, \lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil)$ -packings are automatically t -cover-free
- (Rödl'85) $\exists (n, r, k)$ -packings with size $\geq (1 - o(1)) \left(\binom{n}{k} / \binom{r}{k} \right)$

Cover-free r -graphs - upper and lower bounds

- $(n, r, \lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil)$ -packings: $F_t(n, r) \geq (1 - o(1)) \left(\binom{n}{\lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil} / \binom{r}{\lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil} \right)$
- (Erdős-Frankl-Füredi'85) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_t(n, r)}{n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil}}$ exists (and determined it for some parameters).
- (Frankl-Füredi'87) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_t(n, r)}{\binom{n}{\lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil}} = \frac{1}{\binom{r}{\lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil} - m(r, \lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil, \ell)}$, where
 - 1 $0 \leq \ell < t$ is the unique integer s.t. $r = t(\lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil - 1) + \ell + 1$,
 - 2 $m(r, k, \ell)$ is **Erdős hypergraph matching function**, which is the maximum number of edges of an r -vertex k -graph containing no $\ell + 1$ pairwise disjoint edges.

Conjecture of Erdős-Frankl-Füredi'85

- Consider **not necessarily uniform** t -cover-free families $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$
- Let $N(t) = \min\{n : \exists t\text{-CFF with } \geq n + 1 \text{ edges}\}$
- “Trivial” example: singletons form a t -cover-free families with n edges
- **Question.** What is $N(t)$?

Definition (Affine plane)

Affine plane of order $t + 1$, where $t + 1$ is a prime power, is a point-line incidence structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ s.t.

- $|\mathcal{P}| = (t + 1)^2$, $|\mathcal{L}| = (t + 1)^2 + (t + 1)$
 - every line contains $t + 1$ points
 - every point is contained in $t + 1$ lines
 - every pair of lines intersect in at most one point
-
- Affine plane of order $t + 1$ gives a t -CFF $(t + 1)$ -graph with $(t + 1)^2$ -vertices and $(t + 1)^2 + (t + 1)$ edges
 - For prime power $t + 1$, $N(t) \leq (t + 1)^2$; for all $t \rightarrow \infty$, $N(t) \leq t^2 + o(t^2)$.

Conjecture of Erdős-Frankl-Füredi'85, continued

- **Conjecture.** (Erdős-Frankl-Füredi'85):
 $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(t)}{t^2} = 1$ (weak version) and $N(t) \geq (t+1)^2$ (strong version)
- (Erdős-Frankl-Füredi'85) $N(t) \geq \frac{5}{6}t^2 + o(t^2)$
- (S.-Ge'16) $N(t) \geq \frac{15+\sqrt{33}}{24}t^2 > 0.86n^2$, based on Erdős-Gallai'59 exact formula $m(r, 2, \ell) = \max\{\binom{2\ell+1}{2}, \binom{r}{2} - \binom{r-\ell}{2}\}$ for $r \geq 2\ell + 2$.

Union-free r -graphs

- $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ is **t -union-free** if for any two distinct subfamilies $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, with $1 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq t$, $\cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A \neq \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$
- **Observation.** t -cover-free $\implies t$ -union-free $\implies (t-1)$ -cover-free
- **Bounds via CFF.** $\Omega(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil}) = F_t(n, r) \leq U_t(n, r) \leq F_{t-1}(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t-1} \rceil})$.
- **Improved bounds**
 - 1 (Frankl-Füredi'86) $U_2(n, r) = \Theta(n^{\lceil 4r/3 \rceil / 2})$
 - 2 (Füredi-Ruzinkó'13) $n^{2-o(1)} < U_r(n, r) = O(n^2)$
 - Conjecture: $U_r(n, r) = o(n^2)$, no Turán exponent
 - 3 (S.-Tamo'20) $\Omega(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t-1} \rceil}) = U_t(n, r)$, exact Turán exponent for $(t-1) \mid r$.

Union-free r -graphs

- $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ is **t -union-free** if for any two distinct subfamilies $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, with $1 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq t$, $\cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A \neq \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$
- **Observation.** t -cover-free $\implies t$ -union-free $\implies (t-1)$ -cover-free
- **Bounds via CFF.** $\Omega(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil}) = F_t(n, r) \leq U_t(n, r) \leq F_{t-1}(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t-1} \rceil})$.
- **Improved bounds**
 - ① (Frankl-Füredi'86) $U_2(n, r) = \Theta(n^{\lceil 4r/3 \rceil / 2})$
 - ② (Füredi-Ruzinkó'13) $n^{2-o(1)} < U_r(n, r) = O(n^2)$
 - Conjecture: $U_r(n, r) = o(n^2)$, no Turán exponent
 - ③ (S.-Tamo'20) $\Omega(n^{\frac{r}{t-1}}) = U_t(n, r)$, exact Turán exponent for $(t-1) \mid r$.
 - ④ (S.-Tamo'20) $\gcd(r, t-1) = 1$, $\Omega(n^{\frac{r}{t-1}} (\log n)^{\frac{1}{t-1}}) = U_t(n, r)$

Cancellative r -graphs

- $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ is **t -cancellative** if for any $t + 2$ distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, B, C \in \mathcal{H}$, $(\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup B \neq (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup C$
- **Observation.** $(t + 1)$ -cover-free $\implies t$ -cancellative \implies “almost” $\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ -cover-free
- **Bounds via CFF.** $\Omega(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t+1} \rceil}) = C_t(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} \rceil})$.
- **Improved bounds**
 - 1 (Tolhuizen'00, Frankl-Füredi'84) $\frac{0.28}{2^r} \binom{n}{r} < C_1(n, r) \leq \frac{2^r}{\binom{n}{r}}$
 - 2 (Füredi'12) $\Omega(n^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}) = C_2(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil})$
 - 3 (S.-Tamo'20) $\Omega(n^{\lfloor \frac{2r}{t+2} \rfloor + \frac{2r \pmod{t+2}}{t+1}}) = C_t(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1} \rceil})$, exact Turán exponent for $2 \mid t$ and $(t/2 + 1) \mid r$.

Cancellative r -graphs

- $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ is **t -cancellative** if for any $t + 2$ distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, B, C \in \mathcal{H}$, $(\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup B \neq (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup C$
- **Observation.** $(t + 1)$ -cover-free $\implies t$ -cancellative \implies “almost” $\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ -cover-free
- **Bounds via CFF.** $\Omega(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t+1} \rceil}) = C_t(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} \rceil})$.
- **Improved bounds**
 - 1 (Tolhuizen'00, Frankl-Füredi'84) $\frac{0.28}{2^r} \binom{n}{r} < C_1(n, r) \leq \frac{2^r}{\binom{2r}{r}} \binom{n}{r}$
 - 2 (Füredi'12) $\Omega(n^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}) = C_2(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil})$
 - 3 (S.-Tamo'20) $\Omega(n^{\lfloor \frac{2r}{t+2} \rfloor + \frac{2r \pmod{t+2}}{t+1}}) = C_t(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1} \rceil})$, exact Turán exponent for $2 \mid t$ and $(t/2 + 1) \mid r$.
 - 4 (S.-Tamo'20) $\gcd(2r - \lceil \frac{2r-t-1}{t+2} \rceil, t+1) = 1$,
 $\Omega(n^{\lfloor \frac{2r}{t+2} \rfloor + \frac{2r \pmod{t+2}}{t+1}} (\log n)^{\frac{1}{t+1}}) = C_t(n, r)$

Sparse r -graphs

- (v, e) -free r -graphs: the union of any e distinct edges contains at least $v + 1$ vertices, i.e, for \forall distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_e \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$|\cup_{i=1}^e A_i| \geq v + 1$$

- Example: Fano plane is $(4, 2)$ -free, $(5, 3)$ -free, but not $(6, 4)$ -free

Lemma (Brown-Erdős-Sós'73, standard probabilistic construction)

Let $s \geq 1, r \geq 3$ and (v_i, e_i) for $1 \leq i \leq s$ be pairs of integers satisfying $v_i \geq r + 1, e_i \geq 2$. Let $h := \min \left\{ \frac{e_i r - v_i}{e_i - 1} : 1 \leq i \leq s \right\}$. Then there exists an r -graph with $\Omega(n^h)$ edges that is simultaneously (v_i, e_i) -free for each $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, cancellative r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

$\forall 0 \leq x \leq r - 1,$

$(tr + x, t + 2)$ -free + $(2r - x - 1, 2)$ -free $\implies t$ -cancellative.

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, cancellative r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

$$\forall 0 \leq x \leq r - 1,$$

$(tr + x, t + 2)$ -free + $(2r - x - 1, 2)$ -free $\implies t$ -cancellative.

- If not t -cancellative, then $\exists (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup B = (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup C$

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, cancellative r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

$$\forall 0 \leq x \leq r - 1,$$

$(tr + x, t + 2)$ -free + $(2r - x - 1, 2)$ -free $\implies t$ -cancellative.

- If not t -cancellative, then $\exists (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup B = (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup C$
- So $(B \cup C) \setminus (B \cap C) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^t A_i$

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, cancellative r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

$$\forall 0 \leq x \leq r - 1,$$

$(tr + x, t + 2)$ -free + $(2r - x - 1, 2)$ -free \implies t -cancellative.

- If not t -cancellative, then $\exists (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup B = (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup C$
- So $(B \cup C) \setminus (B \cap C) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^t A_i$
- $(2r - x - 1, 2)$ -free $\implies |B \cap C| \leq x$

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, cancellative r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

$$\forall 0 \leq x \leq r - 1,$$

$(tr + x, t + 2)$ -free + $(2r - x - 1, 2)$ -free $\implies t$ -cancellative.

- If not t -cancellative, then $\exists (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup B = (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup C$
- So $(B \cup C) \setminus (B \cap C) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^t A_i$
- $(2r - x - 1, 2)$ -free $\implies |B \cap C| \leq x$
- Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |(\cup_{i=1}^t A_i) \cup B \cup C| &= |\cup_{i=1}^t A_i| + |(B \cup C) \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^t A_i)| \\ &\leq tr + |B \cap C| \\ &\leq tr + x, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to $(tr + x, t + 2)$ -free.

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, union-free r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

r -partite + $(tr - r, t)$ -free + $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies t$ -union-free

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, union-free r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

r -partite + $(tr - r, t)$ -free + $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies t$ -union-free

Fact

If an r -partite r -graph with vertex parts V_1, \dots, V_r is $(tr - r, t)$ -free, then \forall distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, \exists i$ s.t. $A_1 \cap V_i, \dots, A_t \cap V_i$ all distinct.

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, union-free r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

r -partite + $(tr - r, t)$ -free + $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies t$ -union-free

Fact

If an r -partite r -graph with vertex parts V_1, \dots, V_r is $(tr - r, t)$ -free, then \forall distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, \exists i$ s.t. $A_1 \cap V_i, \dots, A_t \cap V_i$ all distinct.

- If not t -union-free, $\exists \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}, 1 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq t$, s.t. $\cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, union-free r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

r -partite + $(tr - r, t)$ -free + $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies t$ -union-free

Fact

If an r -partite r -graph with vertex parts V_1, \dots, V_r is $(tr - r, t)$ -free, then \forall distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, \exists i$ s.t. $A_1 \cap V_i, \dots, A_t \cap V_i$ all distinct.

- If not t -union-free, $\exists \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}, 1 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq t$, s.t. $\cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$
- $(tr - r, t)$ -free $\implies |\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| = t$

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, union-free r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

r -partite + $(tr - r, t)$ -free + $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies t$ -union-free

Fact

If an r -partite r -graph with vertex parts V_1, \dots, V_r is $(tr - r, t)$ -free, then \forall distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, \exists i$ s.t. $A_1 \cap V_i, \dots, A_t \cap V_i$ all distinct.

- If not t -union-free, $\exists \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}, 1 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq t$, s.t. $\cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$
- $(tr - r, t)$ -free $\implies |\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| = t$
- $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset, |\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}| = i \geq 1$

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, union-free r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

r -partite + $(tr - r, t)$ -free + $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies t$ -union-free

Fact

If an r -partite r -graph with vertex parts V_1, \dots, V_r is $(tr - r, t)$ -free, then \forall distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, \exists i$ s.t. $A_1 \cap V_i, \dots, A_t \cap V_i$ all distinct.

- If not t -union-free, $\exists \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}, 1 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq t$, s.t. $\cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$
- $(tr - r, t)$ -free $\implies |\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| = t$
- $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset, |\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}| = i \geq 1$
- $\mathcal{A} := \{C_1, \dots, C_i, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_t\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := \{C_1, \dots, C_i, B_{i+1}, \dots, B_t\}$,

Lemma (S.-Tamo'20, union-free r -graphs via sparse r -graphs)

r -partite + $(tr - r, t)$ -free + $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies t$ -union-free

Fact

If an r -partite r -graph with vertex parts V_1, \dots, V_r is $(tr - r, t)$ -free, then \forall distinct edges $A_1, \dots, A_t, \exists i$ s.t. $A_1 \cap V_i, \dots, A_t \cap V_i$ all distinct.

- If not t -union-free, $\exists \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{B}, 1 \leq |\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}| \leq t$, s.t. $\cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$
- $(tr - r, t)$ -free $\implies |\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| = t$
- $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset, |\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}| = i \geq 1$
- $\mathcal{A} := \{C_1, \dots, C_i, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_t\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := \{C_1, \dots, C_i, B_{i+1}, \dots, B_t\}$,
- Apply Fact to $\mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A} \cup \{B_{i+1}\} \setminus \{C_1\}, \exists 1 \leq j \leq r$ such that $A \cap V_j, A \in \mathcal{A}'$ are pairwise distinct.

- Cover-free, union-free, cancellative hypergraphs
- Old bounds:
 - t -cover-free $\implies t$ -union-free $\implies (t-1)$ -cover-free
 - $(t+1)$ -cover-free $\implies t$ -cancellative \implies “almost” $\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ -cover-free
- New bounds:
 - r -partite + $(tr-r, t)$ -free + $(tr, 2t)$ -free $\implies t$ -union-free
 - $(tr+x, t+2)$ -free + $(2r-x-1, 2)$ -free $\implies t$ -cancellative
- Questions.
 - 1 Minimum n , s.t. $\exists t$ -CFF $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{H}| > n$?
 - 2 $\Omega(n^{\max\{\frac{r}{t-1}, \lceil \frac{r}{t} \rceil\}}) = U_t(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{t-1} \rceil})$, correct exponents?
 - 3 $\Omega(n^{\lfloor \frac{2r}{t+2} \rfloor + \frac{2r \pmod{t+2}}{t+1}}) = C_t(n, r) = O(n^{\lceil \frac{r}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor + 1} \rceil})$, correct exponents?
 - 4 Maximum cardinality with no size restriction on the edges?

T H A N K
Y O U