# Covering cubes by hyperplanes

#### Hao Huang

Emory University

Oct 8, 2020

#### Joint work with Alexander Clifton (Emory).



# Alexander Clifton (Ph.D student at Emory)

### A naive question

The *n*-dimensional cube  $Q^n$  consists of the binary vectors  $\{0,1\}^n$ .

An affine hyperplane is:

$$\{\vec{x}:a_1x_1+\cdots+a_nx_n=\mathbf{b}\}.$$

#### QUESTION

What is the minimum number of affine hyperplanes that cover all the vertices of  $Q^n$ ?

### A naive question

The *n*-dimensional cube  $Q^n$  consists of the binary vectors  $\{0,1\}^n$ .

An affine hyperplane is:

$$\{\vec{x}:a_1x_1+\cdots+a_nx_n=b\}.$$

#### QUESTION

What is the minimum number of affine hyperplanes that cover all the vertices of  $Q^n$ ?

Answer: 2.

X:=| X:=0

Suppose we would like to avoid exactly one vertex of the cube, how many affine hyperplanes are needed?

For  $Q^3$ , 3 planes are needed.



#### THEOREM (ALON, FÜREDI 1993)

Suppose we would like to avoid exactly one vertex of the cube, how many affine hyperplanes are needed?


For  $Q^3$ , 3 planes are needed.



#### THEOREM (ALON, FÜREDI 1993)


Suppose we would like to avoid exactly one vertex of the cube, how many affine hyperplanes are needed?

For  $Q^3$ , 3 planes are needed.



#### THEOREM (ALON, FÜREDI 1993)


Suppose we would like to avoid exactly one vertex of the cube, how many affine hyperplanes are needed?



#### THEOREM (ALON, FÜREDI 1993)

Suppose we would like to avoid exactly one vertex of the cube, how many affine hyperplanes are needed?

For  $Q^3$ , 3 planes are needed.



#### THEOREM (ALON, FÜREDI 1993)


An outline of the proof of Alon-Füredi Theorem

Hi: 
$$\langle \vec{x}, \vec{a}_i \rangle = b_i$$
  $b_i \neq 0$   
 $\in_{R} \in_{R}$   
 $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\langle \vec{x}, \vec{a}_i \rangle - b_i)$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$   
 $(\Box \ p(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad f_{ir} \ x \in Q^{n-1}o]$ 

### Covering the cube twice

#### QUESTION (BUKH'S HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT AT CMU)

What happens if we would like to cover the vertices of  $Q^n$  at least twice, with one vertex uncovered?



Denote by f(n, k) the minimum number of affine hyperplanes needed to cover every vertex of  $Q^n$  at least k times (except for  $\vec{0}$  which is not covered at all).

We call such a cover an almost *k*-cover of the *n*-cube.

f(n, 1) = n.f(n, 2) = n + 1.

What is the next?

### Upper and lower bounds

$$f(n,k) \leq n + {k \choose 2}$$

$$f(n,k) \leq n + {k \choose 2}$$

$$f(n,k) \leq n + {k \choose 2}$$

$$f(n,k) \leq n + k - 1$$

$$f(n,k) \geq n + k - 1$$

Note that removing k - 1 planes from an almost k-cover still gives an almost 1-cover.

$$k=3: \quad n+2 \leq f(n,3) \leq n+3.$$

The $k = 3$ case and a natural conjecture				
		πi=1 Σπi=1 Σxi=2	trice once	
	Theorem (H., Clifton 2019)			
	For $n \ge 2$ ,			
	f(n,3) = n+3		n+12-1 = n+3	
	For $n \ge 3$ ,		n+( <u>k</u> ) = n+6	
	$f(n,4) \in \{n+5, n-1\}$	$(-) \in \{n+5, n+6\}.$		



For fixed integer  $k \ge 1$  and sufficiently large n,

$$f(n,k) = n + \binom{k}{2}.$$

2 k the



#### The Nullstellensatz

If  $\mathbb{F}$  is an algebraically closed field, and  $f, g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , where f vanishes over all common zeros of  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ , then there exists an integer k, and polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , such that

$$f^k = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i g_i.$$

When m = n, and  $g_i = \prod_{s \in S_i} (x_i - s)$ , for some  $S_1, \dots, S_n \subset \mathbb{F}$ , a stronger result holds: there are polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  with deg  $h_i \leq \deg f - \deg g_i$ , such that

$$f=\sum_{i=1}^n h_i g_i.$$

# Punctured Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

We say  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is a zero of multiplicity t of  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , if t is the minimum degree of the terms in  $f(x_1 + a_1, \dots, x_n + a_n)$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let

$$D_i \subset S_i \subset \mathbb{F}. \quad g_i = \prod_{s \in S_i} (x_i - s). \quad \ell_i = \prod_{d \in D_i} (x_i - d).$$

$$\{b_i\} \quad \{b_i\} \quad \{c_i \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$


THEOREM (BALL, SERRA 2009)

If f has a zero of multiplicity at least t at all the common zeros of  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ , except at least one point of  $D_1 \times \dots \times D_n$  where it has a zero of multiplicity less than t, then there are polynomials  $h_{\tau}$  satisfying  $\deg(h_{\tau}) \leq \deg(f) - \sum_{i \in \tau} \deg(g_i)$ , and a non-zero polynomial u satisfying  $\deg(u) \leq \deg(f) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\deg(g_i) - \deg(\ell_i))$ , such that

$$f = \sum_{\tau \in T(n,t)} g_{\tau(1)} \cdots g_{\tau(t)} h_{\tau} + u \prod_{i=1}^{t} \frac{g_i}{\ell_i}.$$

T(n, t) consists of all non-decreasing sequences of length t on [n].

Outline of our proof using the PCN (k = 3)



# Follow-up work $x_{i=1}, \dots, x_{n-1} = 1$ $x_{i+1} + x_{n-1} = 1$ The essence of this proof can be summarized in one sentence: If f has zeroes of multiplicity at least 3 at $\{0,1\}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $f(0) \neq 0$ , then deg $(f) \geq n+3$ . $f=P_1\cdots P_m$ THEOREM (SAUERMANN, WIGDERSON 2020) For $k \ge 2$ , the minimum possible degree of a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ sucht that it has zeroes of multiplicity at least k at $\{0,1\}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $f(0) \neq 0$ , is n + 2k - 3. $n+(\frac{k}{2}) \geq f(n,k) \geq n+2k-3$ COROLLARY (SAUERMANN, WIGDERSON 2020) For $k \ge 2$ , an almost k-cover of $Q^n$ has at least n + 2k - 3

hyperplanes.

# f(n, k) for fixed n and large k

For small 
$$n$$
,  $f(n, k) \neq n + \binom{k}{2}$ . Actually,


#### THEOREM (H., CLIFTON 2019)

For fixed n, and k tends to infinity,


$$f(n,k) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} + o(1)\right)k.$$

• Upper bound: use every hyperplane

$$x_{i_1}+\cdots+x_{i_i}=1$$



• Lower bound: (e.g. n = 3) assign weights to vertices:




Every affine plane covers vertices of total weight at most 1. Therefore one needs at least

$$k \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{11}{6}k$$

hyperplanes.

• Lower bound: (e.g. n = 3) assign weights to vertices:




Every affine plane covers vertices of total weight at most 1. Therefore one needs at least

$$k \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{11}{6}k$$

hyperplanes.

• Lower bound: (e.g. n = 3) assign weights to vertices:




Every affine plane covers vertices of total weight at most 1. Therefore one needs at least

$$k \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{11}{6}k$$

hyperplanes.

• Lower bound: (e.g. n = 3) assign weights to vertices:



Every affine plane covers vertices of total weight at most 1. Therefore one needs at least

$$k \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{11}{6}k\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$


hyperplanes.

### An LYM-like inenquality

#### THE LUBELL-YAMATO-MESHALKIN INEQUALITY

Let  ${\mathcal F}$  be a family of subsets in which no set contains another, then

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|S|}} \leq 1.$$



# Proof of the Lemma

We associate every  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  (binary vector covered by the plane) with some permutations in  $\mathcal{P}_S \subset S_n$ .

e.g. When n = 5,  $S = \{1, 3, 4\}$ , it means  $a_1 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$ , take all permutations in  $S_5$  with prefix  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  satisfying

$$\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} = \{1, 3, 4\}, \quad a_{i_1} < 1, \quad a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} < 1.$$

We can show:

- $\mathcal{P}_S$  are pairwise disjoint.
- $|\mathcal{P}_S| \ge (|S| 1)!(n |S|)!$  (the proof uses the *lorry driver puzzle*.)
- Therefore

$$n! \geq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathcal{P}_S| = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} (|S| - 1)! (n - |S|)!,$$

which simplifies to our desired result.

### Future research problems (I)

# n+(1) (n+24-3

#### Problem 1

Prove 
$$f(n, k) = n + \binom{k}{2}$$
 for large *n*.

Alon (private communication): for large *n*, if the almost *k*-cover contains  $x_1 = 1$ , then it contains at least  $n + \binom{k}{2}$  affine hyperplanes in total. No =  $\lfloor n \rfloor$   $N_0 = \lfloor n \rfloor$   $color by hyperplanes \lfloor n \rfloor$   $k_1 \leq N_0$ .

#### Problem 2

Let g(n, m, k) be the minimum number of vertices covered less than k times by m affine hyperplanes not passing through  $\vec{0}$ . Determine g(n, m, k).

Alon, Füredi 1993:  $g(n, m, 1) = 2^{n-m}$ .

**Question:** Is it true that for all n, m, k:

$$g(n,m,k)=2^{n-d},$$

where d is the maximum integer such that  $f(d, k) \le m$ ?

#### Problem 3

Does there exist an absolute constant C > 0, which does not depend on n, such that for a fixed integer n, there exists  $M_n$ , so that whenever  $k \ge M_n$ ,

$$f(n,k) \leq \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right)k}_{k+1} C?$$

1=2,3,4



# Thank you!